

The characteristic equation of linear recurrence relations

Qikun Xiang

October 5, 2022

We will use the characteristic equation approach to solve the following k -th order linear homogeneous recurrence relation:

$$a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + c_2 a_{n-2} + \cdots + c_k a_{n-k} = \sum_{t=1}^k c_t a_{n-t} \quad (1)$$

with complex-valued coefficients $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{C}$, subject to initial values $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Here, we assume that $c_k \neq 0$, since otherwise the order of this recurrence is less than k .

The characteristic equation corresponding to this linear homogeneous recurrence relation is:

$$x^k = \sum_{t=1}^k c_t x^{k-t}.$$

Let us define the k -th degree polynomial $f(\cdot)$ as follows:

$$f(x) := x^k - \left(\sum_{t=1}^k c_t x^{k-t} \right).$$

Then, the characteristic equation is equivalent to $f(x) = 0$. By the fundamental theorem of algebra, the characteristic equation has k complex-valued roots. Suppose that the equation $f(x) = 0$ has $m \in \mathbb{N}$ distinct roots $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{C}$, with associated multiplicities $r_1, \dots, r_m \in \mathbb{N}$, that is, we can factorize $f(x)$ into:

$$f(x) = (x - x_1)^{r_1} (x - x_2)^{r_2} \cdots (x - x_m)^{r_m}, \quad (2)$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^m r_i = k$. Since $c_k \neq 0$, none of the roots x_1, \dots, x_m is equal to 0. For $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 0, \dots, r_i - 1$, let us define the sequence $(\tilde{e}_n^{[ij]})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_n^{[i0]} &:= x_i^n & \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \tilde{e}_n^{[ij]} &:= (n+j)(n+j-1) \cdots (n+2)(n+1)x_i^n & \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, r_i - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 0, \dots, r_i - 1$, let us define the sequence $(e_n^{[ij]})$ as follows:

$$e_n^{[ij]} := n^j x_i^n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, r_i - 1.$$

In the following, we will demonstrate why the characteristic equation approach works by showing that the space of sequences satisfying the recurrence equation (1), which will be referred to as the *solution space*, is a k -dimensional vector space, and that the sequences $\{(e_n^{[ij]}) : 0 \leq j \leq r_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ form a basis of the solution space. This will be done through the following steps:

- **Step 1:** proving that the sequences $\{\tilde{e}_n^{[ij]} : 0 \leq j \leq r_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ are in the solution space.
- **Step 2:** proving that the sequences $\{e_n^{[ij]} : 0 \leq j \leq r_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ are in the solution space.
- **Step 3:** proving that the sequences $\{e_n^{[ij]} : 0 \leq j \leq r_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ are linearly independent.
- **Step 4:** proving that the sequences $\{e_n^{[ij]} : 0 \leq j \leq r_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ span the solution space.

Step 1

For every $n \geq k$, let us define the function $F_n(\cdot)$ as follows:

$$F_n(x) := x^{n-k} f(x) = x^n - \left(\sum_{t=1}^k c_t x^{n-t} \right). \quad (3)$$

We can observe the following properties of $F_n(\cdot)$ from the factorization (2). First of all, for $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$F_n(x_i) = x_i^{n-k} (x_i - x_1)^{r_1} (x_i - x_2)^{r_2} \cdots (x_i - x_i)^{r_i} \cdots (x_i - x_m)^{r_m} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Next, for every $i = 1, \dots, m$, if $r_i \geq 2$, then we let

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &:= (x - x_i)^{r_i}, \\ v(x) &:= x^{n-k} (x - x_1)^{r_1} \cdots (x - x_{i-1})^{r_{i-1}} (x - x_{i+1})^{r_{i+1}} \cdots (x - x_m)^{r_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $u^{(l)}(x_i) = 0$ for $l = 0, 1, \dots, r_i - 1$, where $u^{(0)}(\cdot) = u(\cdot)$ and $u^{(l)}(\cdot)$ denotes the l -th derivative of $u(\cdot)$ for $l \geq 1$. Subsequently, by the product rule, we have for $j = 1, \dots, r_i - 1$ that

$$F_n^{(j)}(x_i) = \sum_{l=0}^j \binom{j}{l} u^{(l)}(x_i) v^{(j-l)}(x_i) = 0. \quad (5)$$

The equation (4) shows that, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, the sequence $(\tilde{e}_n^{[i0]})$ satisfies

$$\tilde{e}_n^{[i0]} - \left(\sum_{t=1}^k c_t \tilde{e}_{n-t}^{[i0]} \right) = x_i^n - \left(\sum_{t=1}^k c_t x_i^{n-t} \right) = F_n(x_i) = 0$$

for any $n \geq k$. Thus, the sequence $(\tilde{e}_n^{[i0]})$ satisfies the recurrence equation (1) and is thus in the solution space. Moreover, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, if $r_i \geq 2$, then the property of $F_n(\cdot)$ in equation (5) and the definition of $F_n(\cdot)$ in (3) show that, for $j = 1, \dots, r_i - 1$, the sequence $(\tilde{e}_n^{[ij]})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{e}_n^{[ij]} - \left(\sum_{t=1}^k c_t \tilde{e}_{n-t}^{[ij]} \right) \\ &= (n+j)(n+j-1) \cdots (n+1) x_i^n - \left(\sum_{t=1}^k c_t (n-t+j)(n-t+j-1) \cdots (n-t+1) x_i^{n-t} \right) \\ &= F_{n+j}^{(j)}(x_i) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for any $n \geq k$. Thus, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, \dots, r_i - 1$, the sequence $(\tilde{e}_n^{[ij]})$ satisfies the recurrence equation (1) and is thus in the solution space. We have now completed Step 1.

Step 2

We will use complete induction to prove that the sequences $\{(e_n^{[ij]}) : 0 \leq j \leq r_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ satisfy the recurrence equation (1). To begin, let $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ be arbitrary.

Base step: when $j = 0$, $e_n^{[i0]} = n^0 x_i^n = x_i^n = \tilde{e}_n^{[i0]}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence the sequence $(e_n^{[i0]})$ satisfies the recurrence equation (1) by Step 1.

Inductive step: assume that for an arbitrary $j \in \{1, \dots, r_i - 1\}$ and for $l = 0, \dots, j - 1$, the sequence $(e_n^{[il]})$ satisfies the recurrence equation (1). Then, since $\tilde{e}_n^{[ij]} = (n + j)(n + j - 1) \cdots (n + 2)(n + 1)x_i^n$ and $(n + j)(n + j - 1) \cdots (n + 2)(n + 1)$ is a j -th degree polynomial in n , there exist constants $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{j-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_n^{[ij]} &= (n + j)(n + j - 1) \cdots (n + 2)(n + 1)x_i^n \\ &= \left(n^j + \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \lambda_l n^l \right) x_i^n \\ &= n^j x_i^n + \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \lambda_l n^l x_i^n \\ &= e_n^{[ij]} + \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \lambda_l e_n^{[il]}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $e_n^{[ij]} = \tilde{e}_n^{[ij]} - \left(\sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \lambda_l e_n^{[il]} \right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the sequence $(\tilde{e}_n^{[ij]})$ is in the solution space by Step 1 and the sequences $\{(e_n^{[il]}) : 0 \leq l \leq j - 1\}$ satisfy the recurrence equation (1) by the induction hypothesis, the sequence $(e_n^{[ij]})$ also satisfies the recurrence equation (1) since the solution space is a vector space and is thus closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

Therefore, by complete induction, we conclude that the sequences $\{(e_n^{[ij]}) : 0 \leq j \leq r_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ are in the solution space. This completes Step 2.

Step 3

Let $\bar{r} := \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{r_i\}$. For $i = 1, \dots, m$, let us define the following constants:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e}_t^{[i0]} &:= x_i^{t+\bar{r}-1} & \forall 0 \leq t \leq k-1, \\ \hat{e}_t^{[ij]} &:= (t + \bar{r} - 1)(t + \bar{r} - 2) \cdots (t + \bar{r} - j + 1)(t + \bar{r} - j)x_i^{t+\bar{r}-j-1} & \forall 0 \leq t \leq k-1, \forall 1 \leq j \leq r_i - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let us then defined the following k -by- k matrix:

$$\widehat{M} := \begin{pmatrix} \hat{e}_0^{[10]} & \hat{e}_1^{[10]} & \cdots & \hat{e}_{k-1}^{[10]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hat{e}_0^{[1(r_1-1)]} & \hat{e}_1^{[1(r_1-1)]} & \cdots & \hat{e}_{k-1}^{[1(r_1-1)]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hat{e}_0^{[m0]} & \hat{e}_1^{[m0]} & \cdots & \hat{e}_{k-1}^{[m0]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hat{e}_0^{[m(r_m-1)]} & \hat{e}_1^{[m(r_m-1)]} & \cdots & \hat{e}_{k-1}^{[m(r_m-1)]} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}.$$

Note that for $t = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, the $(t+1)$ -th column of the matrix \widehat{M} contains entries $\{\hat{e}_t^{[ij]} : 0 \leq j \leq r_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. We will first show that the matrix \widehat{M} has rank k . To that end, let $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ be arbitrary coefficients such that

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \beta_t \hat{e}_t^{[ij]} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, r_i - 1, i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (7)$$

In other words, we assume that the weighted sum of the k columns of \widehat{M} with weights $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{k-1}$ is the zero vector in \mathbb{C}^k . Let $G(x) := x^{\bar{r}-1} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \beta_t x^t \right)$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, $G(\cdot)$ is a polynomial whose degree is at most $\bar{r} + k - 2$. We have $G(0) = 0$, and if $\bar{r} > 1$, then we can also get $G^{(j)}(0) = 0$ for $j = 0, \dots, \bar{r} - 2$ by the same argument used in Step 1 involving the produce rule. Moreover, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, we have by equation (7) that

$$G(x_i) = \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \beta_t x_i^{t+\bar{r}-1} = \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \beta_t \hat{e}_t^{[i0]} = 0.$$

Furthermore, if $r_i \geq 2$, then we have by equation (7) that, for $j = 1, \dots, r_i - 1$,

$$G^{(j)}(x_i) = \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \beta_t (t + \bar{r} - 1)(t + \bar{r} - 2) \cdots (t + \bar{r} - j + 1)(t + \bar{r} - j) x_i^{t+\bar{r}-j-1} = \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \beta_t \hat{e}_t^{[ij]} = 0.$$

Subsequently, it follows from Lemma 1 in the Appendix that $G(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$. This also shows that $\beta_0 = \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_{k-1} = 0$, which proves that the k columns of the matrix \widehat{M} are linearly independent. Thus, the matrix \widehat{M} has rank k .

Next, let us define the following k -by- k matrix:

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} e_0^{[10]} & e_1^{[10]} & \cdots & e_{k-1}^{[10]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ e_0^{[1(r_1-1)]} & e_1^{[1(r_1-1)]} & \cdots & e_{k-1}^{[1(r_1-1)]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ e_0^{[m0]} & e_1^{[m0]} & \cdots & e_{k-1}^{[m0]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ e_0^{[m(r_m-1)]} & e_1^{[m(r_m-1)]} & \cdots & e_{k-1}^{[m(r_m-1)]} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}.$$

We will prove that the matrix M can be obtained from the matrix \widehat{M} through a sequence of elementary row operations. For $i = 1, \dots, m, j = 0, \dots, r_i - 1$, let $\hat{e}^{[ij]}$ denote the row vector $(\hat{e}_0^{[ij]}, \dots, \hat{e}_{k-1}^{[ij]})$ and let $e^{[ij]}$ denote the row vector $(e_0^{[ij]}, \dots, e_{k-1}^{[ij]})$. Thus, we have

$$\widehat{M} := \begin{pmatrix} \text{---} & \hat{e}^{[10]} & \text{---} \\ & \vdots & \\ \text{---} & \hat{e}^{[1(r_1-1)]} & \text{---} \\ & \vdots & \\ \text{---} & \hat{e}^{[m0]} & \text{---} \\ & \vdots & \\ \text{---} & \hat{e}^{[m(r_m-1)]} & \text{---} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M := \begin{pmatrix} \text{---} & e^{[10]} & \text{---} \\ & \vdots & \\ \text{---} & e^{[1(r_1-1)]} & \text{---} \\ & \vdots & \\ \text{---} & e^{[m0]} & \text{---} \\ & \vdots & \\ \text{---} & e^{[m(r_m-1)]} & \text{---} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us fix an arbitrary $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and focus on the rows $\hat{e}^{[i0]}, \dots, \hat{e}^{[i(r_i-1)]}$. We will use induction to show that we can apply a sequence of elementary row operations to $\hat{e}^{[i0]}, \dots, \hat{e}^{[i(r_i-1)]}$ to transform them into $e^{[i0]}, \dots, e^{[i(r_i-1)]}$.

Base step: since $\hat{e}_t^{[i0]} = x_i^{\bar{r}-1} x_i^t = x_i^{\bar{r}-1} e_t^{[i0]}$ for $t = 0, \dots, k-1$, and $x_i^{\bar{r}-1} \neq 0$, we have $\hat{e}^{[i0]} = x_i^{\bar{r}-1} e^{[i0]}$ and thus dividing the row $\hat{e}^{[i0]}$ by the constant $x_i^{\bar{r}-1}$ yields $e^{[i0]}$. Hence, the row $e^{[i0]}$ can be obtained from the row $\hat{e}^{[i0]}$ through a sequence of elementary row operations.

Inductive step: assume that for an arbitrary $1 \leq j \leq r_i - 1$ the rows $e^{[i0]}, \dots, e^{[i(j-1)]}$ can be obtained from the rows $\hat{e}^{[i0]}, \dots, \hat{e}^{[i(j-1)]}$ through a sequence of elementary row operations. Since $\hat{e}_t^{[ij]} = (t + \bar{r} - 1)(t + \bar{r} - 2) \cdots (t + \bar{r} - j + 1)(t + \bar{r} - j) x_i^{\bar{r}-j-1} x_i^t$ and $(t + \bar{r} - 1)(t + \bar{r} - 2) \cdots (t + \bar{r} - j + 1)(t + \bar{r} - j)$ is a j -th degree polynomial in t , there exist constants $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{j-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e}_t^{[ij]} &= (t + \bar{r} - 1)(t + \bar{r} - 2) \cdots (t + \bar{r} - j + 1)(t + \bar{r} - j) x_i^{\bar{r}-j-1} x_i^t \\ &= x_i^{\bar{r}-j-1} \left(t^j + \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \lambda_l t^l \right) x_i^t \\ &= x_i^{\bar{r}-j-1} \left(t^j x_i^t + \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \lambda_l t^l x_i^t \right) \\ &= x_i^{\bar{r}-j-1} \left(e_t^{[ij]} + \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \lambda_l e_t^{[il]} \right) \\ &= x_i^{\bar{r}-j-1} e_t^{[ij]} + \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} x_i^{\bar{r}-j-1} \lambda_l e_t^{[il]}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the row $e^{[ij]}$ can be obtained from the row $\hat{e}^{[ij]}$ and the rows $e^{[i0]}, \dots, e^{[i(j-1)]}$ via

$$e^{[ij]} = \frac{1}{x_i^{\bar{r}-j-1}} \left[\hat{e}^{[ij]} - \left(\sum_{l=0}^{j-1} x_i^{\bar{r}-j-1} \lambda_l e^{[il]} \right) \right].$$

Hence, the row $e^{[ij]}$ can be obtained by subtracting j rescaled rows $x_i^{\bar{r}-j-1} \lambda_0 e^{[i0]}, \dots, x_i^{\bar{r}-j-1} \lambda_{j-1} e^{[i(j-1)]}$ from $\hat{e}^{[ij]}$ and then dividing the resulting vector by the non-zero constant $x_i^{\bar{r}-j-1}$. Notice that all these operations are elementary row operations. This shows that the rows $e^{[i0]}, \dots, e^{[ij]}$ can be obtained from the rows $\hat{e}^{[i0]}, \dots, \hat{e}^{[ij]}$ through a sequence of elementary row operations.

Therefore, we conclude by mathematical induction that the rows $e^{[i0]}, \dots, e^{[i(r_i-1)]}$ in the matrix M can be obtained from the rows $\hat{e}^{[i0]}, \dots, \hat{e}^{[i(r_i-1)]}$ in the matrix \widehat{M} through a sequence of elementary row operations. By applying such elementary row operations to the rows $\hat{e}^{[i0]}, \dots, \hat{e}^{[i(r_i-1)]}$ in the matrix \widehat{M} for $i = 1, \dots, m$, we can obtain the matrix M from the matrix \widehat{M} through a sequence of elementary row operations. This shows that the rank of the matrix M must be equal to the rank of the matrix \widehat{M} , which is equal to k , and hence the k rows of the matrix M are linearly independent. Since each row of the matrix M corresponds to the first k terms in each of the sequences in $\{(e_n^{[ij]}): 0 \leq j \leq r_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, these k sequences must also be linearly independent. This completes Step 3.

Step 4

Let V denote the solution space, i.e.,

$$V := \{(a_n) : \text{the sequence } (a_n) \text{ satisfies the recurrence equation (1)}\},$$

and let $T : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ be defined as follows:

$$T((a_n)) := (a_0, \dots, a_{k-1})^T \quad \forall (a_n) \in V.$$

In other words, $T((a_n))$ returns the first k terms in the sequence (a_n) as a column vector. One can check that T is a linear transformation. By the recurrence equation (1), if the first k terms of a sequence (a_n) in V are all equal to 0, then it must be that $a_n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, the null space (also known as the kernel) of the linear transformation T contains only the all-zero sequence, and it has dimension 0. On the other hand, given any k complex numbers a_0, \dots, a_{k-1} , one can iteratively apply the recurrence equation (1) to generate an entire sequence (a_n) in V whose first k terms are a_0, \dots, a_{k-1} . This shows that the image (also known as the range) of the linear transformation T is the entirety of \mathbb{C}^k , which has dimension k . It follows from the rank-nullity theorem that the dimension of the vector space V is equal to the dimension of the null space of T , i.e., 0, plus the dimension of the image of T , i.e., k . Therefore, V is k -dimensional. Since we have shown in Step 2 and Step 3 that $\{(e_n^{[ij]}) : 0 \leq j \leq r_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ are k linearly independent vectors in V , they must also span the vector space V . The proof is now complete.

Appendix

Lemma 1. *Let $G : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial whose degree is at most $k - 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ distinct numbers $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{C}$ as well as m numbers $r_1, \dots, r_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G^{(j)}(x_i) = 0$ for all $j = 0, \dots, r_i - 1, i = 1, \dots, m$, and that $\sum_{i=1}^m r_i = k$. Then, $G(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof of Lemma 1. Suppose that $G(\cdot)$ is not the zero polynomial. Then, it follows from the fundamental theorem of algebra that $G(\cdot)$ can be expressed as

$$G(x) = \alpha(x - z_1)(x - z_2) \cdots (x - z_l)$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha \neq 0, 0 \leq l \leq k - 1, z_1, \dots, z_l \in \mathbb{C}$. We claim that, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, there are at least r_i numbers out of z_1, \dots, z_l that are equal to x_i . We prove this claim by induction.

Base step: if none of z_1, \dots, z_l is equal to x_i , then $G(x_i) = \alpha(x_i - z_1)(x_i - z_2) \cdots (x_i - z_l) \neq 0$. Thus, at least one of z_1, \dots, z_l must be equal to x_i .

Inductive step: assume that at least j numbers out of z_1, \dots, z_l are equal to x_i for an arbitrary $1 \leq j \leq r_i - 1$. Then, we can re-express $G(\cdot)$ as

$$G(x) = \alpha(x - x_i)^j(x - w_1)(x - w_2) \cdots (x - w_{l-j}),$$

where $w_1, \dots, w_{l-j} \in \mathbb{C}$ are numbers among z_1, \dots, z_l after excluding j copies of x_i . Suppose that none of w_1, \dots, w_{l-j} is equal to x_i . Then, by letting $u(x) := (x - x_i)^j$ and $v(x) := \alpha(x - w_1)(x - w_2) \cdots (x - w_{l-j})$,

the product rule implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
G^{(j)}(x_i) &= \sum_{q=0}^j \binom{j}{q} u^{(q)}(x_i) v^{(j-q)}(x_i) \\
&= j! v(x_i) \\
&= j! \alpha(x_i - w_1)(x_i - w_2) \cdots (x_i - w_{l-j}) \\
&\neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption that $G^{(j)}(x_i) = 0$. We have thus proved that at least one of w_1, \dots, w_{l-j} must be equal to x_i , and hence at least $j + 1$ numbers out of z_1, \dots, z_l are equal to x_i .

Therefore, by mathematical induction, we can prove the claim. The claim implies that among the list of numbers z_1, \dots, z_l , there are at least r_i copies of x_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^m r_i = k$ and x_1, \dots, x_m are all distinct, this contradicts the assumption that $l \leq k - 1$. Consequently, $G(\cdot)$ must be the zero polynomial. The proof is now complete. \square